	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION			
		Levels of Pe	erformance	
Component 1A	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent
Demonstrating	Planning incorporates little to no	Planning incorporates some	Planning incorporates knowledge of	Planning incorporates in-depth
Knowledge of	knowledge of grade/age level	knowledge of grade/age level	grade/age level curriculum and	knowledge of grade/age level
Content and	curriculum and development,	curriculum and development,	development, discipline specific	curriculum and development,
Pedagogy	discipline specific content, and best	discipline specific content, and best	content, and best practice to	discipline specific content, and best
	practice to develop appropriate	practice to develop appropriate	develop appropriate instruction.	practice to develop appropriate
Performance	instruction. Plans include	instruction. Plans include a few	Plans include effective strategies	instruction. Plans include many
(Evaluated via pre-	ineffective strategies and limited	effective strategies and incomplete	and knowledge of low-incidence	effective strategies and
observation interview, and	knowledge of low-incidence	knowledge of low-incidence	disability needs.	demonstrate thorough knowledge
discussion)	disability needs.	disability needs.		of low-incidence disability needs.
	Cannot identify where other	Identifies some areas where other	Can identify where other students	Identifies where other students of
	students of same age/grade are	students of same age/grade are	of same age/grade are functioning	same age/grade are functioning -
	functioning.	functioning	so the itinerant is aware of student	aware of student deficits related to
			deficits related to disability	disability
	Unaware of student deficits related	Somewhat aware of student deficits		
	to disability	related to disability	Can rationalize why one	Rationalizes why one instructional
Criteria			instructional strategy was chosen	strategy was chosen over another
	Cannot rationalize why the	Sometimes rationalizes why one	over another	
	instructional strategy was chosen	instructional strategy was chosen		Sequences skills in a variety of
		over another	Knows skills sequencing and has	areas and plans on prior skills
	Unfamiliar with skills sequencing		evidence of planning based on prior	acquisition and future mastery
	and has little to no evidence of	Knows some skills sequencing and	skills acquisition and future mastery	
	planning based on prior skills	has some evidence of planning		Student shows knowledge of own
	acquisition	based on prior skills acquisition		needs
	Does not ask questions or seek	Finds information when supported	Prepares in-services for staff on	Assists students in preparation of
	information		students and hearing loss – includes	in-services when appropriate
		Needs multiple repetition of the	interpretation of audio report	
	Does not pick up/fix equipment	same information for moderate		Prepares information related to
	No knowledge of hearing anatomy,	mastery	Prepares supplementary materials	grade level expectations/
Examples	ALDs, sign language		when gaps in knowledge occur	assessments/units of instruction
•		Can interpret/articulate only basic		
	Does not equip students with	information from audio reports	Seeks change in technology when	Prepares classroom teacher to
	technology and does not perform		needs are identified and not	monitor student use of equipment
	maintenance checks	Can equip students with technology	currently addressed by current	
		with assistance	technology in use	Provides information to team
	Cannot articulate relevant	Slow to respond to equipment	Puts plans in place for equipment	related to student's specific

			June 2015
information related to hearing loss	issues	use and care	type/degree etc. of hearing loss and
			appropriate expectations in the
Incorrectly interprets audio	Limited knowledge of hearing	Basic knowledge of hearing	classroom and its impact on
information	anatomy, ALDs, sign language	anatomy, ALDs, sign language	accessing instruction
			Thorough knowledge of hearing
			anatomy, ALDs, sign language
			Daily listening check/Ling 6 sounds

	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION				
	Levels of Performance				
Component 1B	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Demonstrating	Plans demonstrate little to no	Plans demonstrate basic	Plans demonstrate clear	Plans demonstrate in-depth	
Knowledge of	understanding of the learning	understanding of the learning	understanding of the learning	understanding of the learning	
Students	process and the impact of the	process and the impact of the	process and the impact of the	process and the impact of the	
	child's impairment. Planning does	child's impairment. Planning does	child's impairment. Planning	child's impairment. Planning	
Performance	not incorporate personal interests,	not often incorporate personal	incorporates personal interests,	incorporates personal interests,	
	personality, family and culture of	interests, personality, family and	personality, family and culture of	personality, family and culture of	
	students. Little knowledge of	culture of students. Basic	students. Knowledge of student	students in a variety of ways.	
(Evaluated via pre-	student communication skills and	knowledge of student	communication skills and	Knowledge of student	
observation interview, and	developmental level is reflected in	communication skills and	developmental level is reflected in	communication skills and	
discussion)	planning of instruction	developmental level is reflected in	planning of instruction	developmental level is reflected in	
		planning of instruction		planning of instruction	
				Student participation in planning is	
	Diana ana ina ang mangiata (an	Come along one concerning to fair	Diago ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	evident	
	Plans are inappropriate for developmental levels	Some plans are appropriate for developmental levels	Plans are appropriate for developmental levels	Plans are specific to developmental levels, interests and IEP goals	
				levels, interests and itr goals	
	Unfamiliar with students' additional	Knowledgeable about some students'	Knowledgeable about students'	Plans reflect knowledge of students'	
	disabilities or impact	additional disabilities	additional disabilities	additional disabilities Knowledge of	
				family background/ culture is evident in	
Criteria	Unfamiliar with family	Familiar with some students' family	Familiar with family	planning	
	background/culture	background/culture	background/culture		
	Itinerant knowledge of student is not	Itinerant knowledge of student is	Itinerant knowledge of student is	Clear understanding of impact of medical condition	
	incorporated into plans	sometimes incorporated into plans	incorporated into plans		
				Student's demonstrates knowledge of	
	Little to no understanding of impact of	Some understanding of impact of	Clear understanding of impact of	self and participates in planning	
	medical condition	medical condition	medical condition		
	Plans lack awareness of disability and	Can identify personal interests of few	Accommodation page is specific to the	Appropriate checklists are developed	
	skill	students on caseload	disability and developmental level of	for specific skills or specific students	
	Does not seek information related to	Cannot identify impact of hearing loss	the student	Identifies impact of disability versus age typicality	
Examples	student's language or academic levels,	on other areas of development	In-service materials reflect the specific	cypicality	
	classroom functioning		needs of the student	Uses curricular or student interest	
	Ŭ	Cannot identify the impact of hearing		materials to plan goal activities	
	Uses Incorrect instructional level	loss on social and communication areas	IEP Goals related to students' needs		
	materials- too low or too high	Can articulate students' current hearing	Lesson plans reflect cultural awareness	Uses current grades, district	

		June 2015
loss but does not incorporate into instructional plan	and student interests Emails demonstrate knowledge and	benchmarks, MAP scores or other indicators of academic achievement
	interest about students	Picks out impact of hearing impairment versus age typicality
		Exposes students to DHH culture

	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION					
	Levels of Performance					
Component 1C	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Setting Instructional Outcomes	IEP goals are not individualized or aligned with academic or functional needs. Alignment to academic standards is unclear or non-	IEP goals are individualized for some students and are partially aligned to students' current levels. Weak alignment to appropriate	IEP goals are individualized, measureable and related to student needs and appropriate academic standards. These goals are	IEP goals are measureable, individualized and are structured to increase student independence. IEP goals are based on student		
Performance (Evaluated via pre- observation interview, and discussion)	existent. Itinerant lesson plans do not connect learning outcomes to IEP.	academic standards. Some lesson plans include IEP goals.	evident/present in teacher's plans and incorporated into the lesson.	present level of performance and tied to appropriate academic standards. Plans reflect high learning expectations including having learners apply the learned skill.		
Criteria	Goals are not specifically related to student present level of performance Common Core Standards or other learning objectives are missing from IEP goals. Lesson plans do not reference IEP goals.	Goals are not consistently related to student present level of performance Common Core Standards or other learning objectives are present on IEP but not actively addressed in lesson plans	Goals target student's area of need Goals are differentiated based on developmental/curricular levels of individual student Assessments for measuring goals are clear Lesson plans link to IEP goal and benchmarks	IEP goals meet specific student learning styles and needs How goals will be achieved is clear through benchmarks and measuring instrument Goals scaffold skills to promote student independence		
Examples	Itinerant is unable to identify the IEP goal(s) are being addressed in a lesson Itinerant is unable to connect lesson to a learning standard Itinerant lacks data to show relationship between student performance and IEP goals	Itinerant is unclear about relationship between current level of performance and IEP goal	Goals are specific to student's area of disability Lesson plans include goal and benchmarks Lesson plans include assessment for measurement Goals and benchmarks are specific to the developmental need of the student	Itinerant can articulate how IEP goals are related to past goals and what will come after the goal has been achieved Measurement of benchmarks is planned for the lesson		

June 2015

	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION					
	Levels of Performance					
Component 1D	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via pre- observation interview, and discussion)	Not knowledgeable about RCCSEC resources. Unaware of external resources.	Knowledgeable about resources within RCCSEC. Aware of external resources Incorporates knowledge into plans for students.	Knowledgeable about resources both within and beyond RCCSEC and their districts. Researches external resources and incorporates knowledge into instructional plans and communications with school teams and families.	Knowledgeable about resources both within and beyond RCCSEC and their districts. Researches external resources and incorporates knowledge into instructional plans and communications with school teams and families. Student is knowledgeable about resources.		
Criteria	No knowledge/application of resources for families Little to no knowledge of state and federal guidelines No knowledge of district policies	Familiar with a few resources or resources in a few areas Inconsistently incorporates resources into instructional plans Limited knowledge of district, state and federal guidelines Inconsistently or infrequently shares resources with stakeholders	Itinerant accesses resources for medical information, data collection, assessment, lesson planning, materials, equipment, district, state and federal guidelines, financial assistance and community resources. Incorporates resources into instructional plans Shares information with families, colleagues, school staff Knowledgeable about state, federal and school district IEP policies	Itinerant collects, organizes and shares resources for medical information, data collection, assessment, lesson planning, materials, equipment, district, state and federal guidelines, financial assistance and community resources. Develops innovative systems for communicating information about resources to stakeholders		
Examples	Information provided to team and family is incorrect Unexcused absences on professional development days Unwilling to put forth an effort to investigate resources for families or staff Outdated or irrelevant information	Provides inaccurate information Unaware of resources outside of C.A.S.E.	Works with families and schools to get equipment and resources for students Independently handles equipment requests Has a system in place to inform and respond to parents	Classroom teacher/staff are well prepared with technology and their responsibilities in making accommodations for students Proactively develops and shares materials for families/stakeholders with access to local, state, national or online resources As appropriate, students download own books		

	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION					
	Levels of Performance					
Component 1E	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Designing Coherent Instruction	Limited or no knowledge of learners' needs and design of instructional activities is not based	Knowledge of learners' needs and the content is inconsistently applied in the design of	Knowledge of learners' needs and the content is evident through the design of instructional activities	Knowledge of learners' needs and the content is evident through the design of instructional activities		
Performance	on student's IEP goals. Learning activities and plans or lessons do	instructional activities that are based on student's IEP goals.	based on student's IEP goals. Learning activities are sequential	based on student's IEP goals. Learning activities are sequential		
(Evaluated via pre- observation interview, and discussion)	not target a particular skill.	Some learning activities are sequential and plans or lessons teach a particular skill.	and there are a variety of well- developed plans or lessons to teach a particular skill.	and there are a variety of well- developed plans or lessons to teach a particular skill. Student identifies related activities to address IEP goals		
	Lesson plans do not reflect knowledge of learning goals and students	Lesson plans reflect basic knowledge of learning goals and students	Lesson plans reflect knowledge of learning goals and students	Lesson plans reflect in-depth knowledge of learning goals and students		
	Does not plan strategies to use	Plans to uses a few strategies	Plans to use several strategies			
Criteria	Lessons are unorganized	Lessons are loosely organized	Lessons are well sequenced, scaffolded and organized	Consistently plans to use several strategies		
	Lessons are not sequential	Lessons are too high/low for student		Lessons are well sequenced, scaffolded and organize		
				Students participate in identifying learning goals and planning		
	Lesson doesn't match the IEP Goals Accommodations are not in place	Lessons are redundant or lacking coherence	Lessons geared towards student's needs	Student can generalize lesson goals to curriculum		
	Lesson is not geared toward student	Only some accommodations are in place	Lessons are well organized and planned	Decisions are based on multiple avenues of available data (teacher		
Fremulae	needs		Lessons are differentiated and consist of varied activities	reports, class observations, criterion referenced checklists and forms)		
Examples	Lessons are disconnected from each other		Lesson plans are present	Thoroughly aware of other assessments used by other service providers and		
	Objectives are not connected to assessment		Transition goals are present	uses this data to inform instruction		
	No scaffolding of instruction		Knowledge of the student's needs and accommodations is presented Uses curricular and supplemental	Lesson activities individualized to fully engage students in learning		

		June 2015
	materials to address IEP goals	

June	2015

	DOMAIN ONE: PLANNING AND PREPARATION				
	Levels of Performance				
Component 1F	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Demonstrating Knowledge of Technology	Plans to use of technology are limited and not related to student's specific area of need. Plans for lessons do not include	Plans include available technology and equipment. Consideration of using electronic technology to access instruction is done with	Plans incorporate general and field specific technology and equipment. Appropriate technology/equipment is selected to support IEP goals and	Plans incorporate technology and preparations for malfunctions have been made. Data is used to select the most appropriate technology to	
Performance	consideration of technology	prompting. Does not independently seek out additional or innovative resources involving technology.	access to educational activities. Itinerant consistently seeks out additional and innovative resources and takes responsibility for learning	support IEP goals and increase educational access and independence. Consistently seeks out new technology/equipment	
(Evaluated via pre- observation interview, and discussion)		technology.	district-specific software and protocols.	and incorporates knowledge into lessons. Fully knowledgeable of district technology.	
	Use of irrelevant tools/instruction – not tied to student needs	Uses what is available Does not look for additional or new	Proactive in planning for use of technology in classroom	Student/staff can work without technology for short period because itinerant prepared them for this	
Criteria	No criteria for student learning Student assessments/data not utilized to inform instructional decisions	ways to access instruction Attends trainings or linkage meetings in which technology is presented but does	Takes advantage of classes/training for new technology, equipment, programs and software	Technology for students is based on a data-driven process	
		not incorporate its use on own		Willing to try new/unfamiliar technology after learning about it	
	Itinerant is unfamiliar with technology beyond what the student already uses Does not attend trainings related to	Itinerant is knowledgeable about technology that students are using No documentation that other types of	Plans include checking and troubleshooting of personal equipment and technology	Shares information with district/classroom teacher about accommodations related to district technology	
	technology	technology were considered	Knowledgeable of district-specific policies and programs	Process of choosing technology is	
Examples	Does not use CASE-provided technology during instruction	Programs/apps/ideas shared with itinerant are not used during instruction	Does not perform regular FM checks	documented Makes arrangements for FM or other	
	Does not fit FM systems on students when noted on IEP.	Does not appropriately assess student's need for an FM or an audio cord	Appropriately assesses student's need for an FM or an audio cord	equipment trials – works on a data- gathering tool, trains staff, analyzes data.	

	DOMAIN TWO: THE ENVIRONMENT Levels of Performance				
Component 2A	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport Performance	Interactions with staff, students and parents are negative, insensitive or inappropriate. Staff, students and parents appear to be uncomfortable and unfamiliar with expectations. Student interactions	Interactions with staff, students and parents are generally appropriate. Learners demonstrate minimal respect for the itinerant Some students are disrespectful to itinerant teacher. Instructional	Interactions with staff, students and parents are consistently respectful and positive and collaborative. Learners are respectful and comfortable when working with the itinerant teacher	Interactions with staff, students and parents are characterized by two-way collaboration and are respectful and positive. Itinerant teacher interactions reflect genuine concern and respect. Instructional	
(Evaluated via direct observation)	with itinerant teacher are disrespectful. Instructional environment does not respect student dignity and/or discourages risk-taking.	environment may respect the dignity of some students but does not always promote a safe environment for students.	and expectations are known. Instruction provides a safe, supportive environment.	environment is nurturing.	
Criteria	No attempt to build report with student, staff and families is made by itinerant	Itinerant teacher's effort to build rapport with students and stakeholders is only partially successful and may not be sensitive to individual's culture or special needs.	Courteous and respectful language used by student and itinerant teacher Itinerant teacher incorporates classroom/school rules into one-on- one instruction Humor is used when appropriate Attempts to build rapport are	Turnaround time (getting back to parent or staff once contacted is initiated) is quick and respectful Two-way collaboration between itinerant teacher and school team/parents Learning preferred mode of communication with staff/itinerant teacher	
Examples	Not showing up Negative feedback is given Unable to answer school team or family Unwilling to look for answers to questions about student learning	Occasionally disrespectful Little to no positive feedback to student No elaboration on answers asked by school team or parents Unclear explanations about student	Students & teachers comfortable asking questions In-services are sensitive to student and team needs	Emails that indicate that itinerant teacher provides information in a timely manner Parent reaches out to itinerant teacher for information Delivers personalized in-services for	
	questions about student learning	Unclear explanations about student learning		specific team members in their areas of expertise	

	DOMAIN TWO: THE ENVIRONMENT				
	Levels of Performance				
Component 2B	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Establishing a Culture for Learning <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via direct observation)	The culture during the lesson is characterized by a lack of teacher or student commitment to learning, and/or little to no investment of student energy in the task at hand. Hard work and the precise use of language are not expected or valued. Medium to low expectations for student achievement are the norm. High expectations are reserved for only a few students.	The culture during the lesson characterized by little commitment to learning by the teacher or students. Teacher appears only "going through the motions," and students indicate that they are interested in the completion of the task rather than the quality of work. Teacher conveys that student success is the result of natural ability rather than hard work. High expectations are reserved for those thought to have a natural aptitude for the subject.	The culture during the lesson indicates a place where learning is valued by all; high expectations for both learning and hard work are the norm for most students. Students understand their role as learners and consistently expend effort to learn. Interactions support learning and hard work.	The culture during the lesson indicates a cognitive busy place characterized by a shared belief in the importance of learning. The teacher conveys high expectations for learning for all students and insists on hard work; students assume responsibility to high quality by initiating improvements, making revisions, adding detail, and/or assisting peers.	
Criteria	Itinerant teacher conveys that there is little to no purpose for the work, or that the reasons for doing it are due to external factors. Itinerant teacher conveys that the work is too challenging for them. Students exhibit little or no pride in their work.	Itinerant teacher's energy for the work is neutral, neither indicating a high level of commitment nor ascribing the need to do the work to external forces. The teacher conveys high expectations for only some students. Students exhibit a limited commitment to complete the work on their own.	Itinerant teacher communicates the importance of the content and the conviction that with hard work all students can master the material. Itinerant teacher demonstrates a high regard for students' abilities. Itinerant teacher conveys an expectation of high level of student effort. Students expend good effort to complete work of high quality.	The itinerant teacher communicates passion for the subject. The itinerant teacher conveys the satisfaction that accompanies a deep understanding of complex content. Students indicate through their questions and comments a desire to understand the content. Students assist their classmates in understanding the content. Students take initiative in improving the quality of their work.	
Examples	Itinerant teacher tells the students that they're doing a lesson because it's in the book or because it's district mandated.	"Let's get through this." Itinerant teacher does not encourage students who are	"This is important: you'll need this in the future". "This idea is really important! It's	"It's really fun to find the patterns for factoring" Students ask another student to	

			June 2015
	struggling.	central to our understanding of	explain the work.
"Why don't you	try an easier	history."	
problem"	Some students get right	to work.	Student asks permission to redo a
		Let's work this together; it's hard,	piece of work.
Student don't e	ngage in the task at	but you all will be able to do it	
hand.		well."	
		Students get to work right away	
		when task is presented.	

June	2015
------	------

	DOMAIN TWO: THE ENVIRONMENT					
	Levels of Performance					
Component 2C	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Managing Instruction	Materials are general and not always appropriate to the needs of the learner. Significant amounts of	Materials are generally appropriate. Some loss of instructional time is evident due to weak transitions	Materials and supplies are well organized and are appropriate for students or staff. Instructional time	Materials are ready, appropriate and include additional resources and multiple ways to access		
Performance	instructional time are lost due to weak transitions between activities. Little evidence that students know	between activities. Some evidence that students know and/or follow routines. Pacing is uneven.	is used efficiently and transitions are smooth. Students know and/or follow routines. Non-instructional	material. Instructional time is maximized. Students have been involved in establishing routines.		
(Evaluated via direct observation)	and/or follow routines.		duties are done with little loss of instructional time. Pacing of the lesson is appropriate	Students are engaged with learning while itinerant attends to non- instructional duties. Pacing is appropriate.		
	Transitions disorganized No procedures in place for handling instructional materials	Time between activities is not utilized Inconsistent procedures in place for handling instructional materials	Transitions do not interrupt instruction Instructional time is well utilized due to clear procedures/routines	Consistently links student interests to lessons Transitions are smooth and utilized for additional learning		
Criteria	Materials are inappropriate for students or content. Considerable time off task due to unclear procedures	Some materials are inappropriate for student or task Some time off task due to unclear procedures	Itinerant multi-tasks to keep student engaged in order to complete student related, non-instructional duties. (notes to home, data collection, equipment check.)	Procedures in place for handling instructional materials have been developed with student input		
	Lessons do not match length of time of the session.	Lesson generally runs the same length of time as the session		Materials are appropriate or organized Instructional time is highly efficient due to clear procedures developed by student and itinerant teacher		
	Student waits for itinerant teacher to find materials during instruction	Materials selected for student are not individualized	Moving from one activity to another is smooth	Multiple ways to instruct – use of cell phone, laptop, school-safe technology tools		
	Lesson ends early or doesn't get finished	Transitions are characterized by off- topic discussions/activities	Materials have been prepared and are ready to use	Materials are sequentially organized		
Examples	Activities are not linked together	Materials are somewhat accessible	Activities change throughout the lesson	Time between activities is used for informal assessment, re-checking		
	Student folder does not contain necessary items	Organized folders for some students	Student folders well organized and complete	comprehension or extending instruction		
				Student folders well organized and complete		

June	2015
------	------

	DOMAIN TWO: THE ENVIRONMENT           Levels of Performance				
Component 2D	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Managing Student Behavior	No standards for conduct appear to have been established and/or students challenge established	Standards for conduct appear to be established and itinerant is generally aware of student	Standards of conduct are clear to students and itinerant is alert to student behavior at all times.	Standards of conduct are clear and appear to have been developed with student participation and	
Performance	standards. Itinerant teacher does not respond to misbehavior and/or	behavior. Attempts to respond to student misbehavior have uneven	Response to misbehavior is respectful and appropriate	include behavior plans from students' classrooms. Behavior is	
(Evaluated via direct observation)	responses are disrespectful or inappropriate.	results.	behavior is positively reinforced.	managed proactively and planned for. Response to misbehavior is sensitive to student needs and is entirely appropriate.	
	Not aware of school policy/plans regarding student behavior	Itinerant is aware of some school policy/plans regarding student behavior	Incorporates school positive behavior support policies/initiatives into expectation and positive	Incorporates school and classroom positive behavior support policies/initiatives into expectations	
Criteria	Instructional setting has no clear standards for conduct	Expectations for behavior in the instructional setting are unclear or	reinforcement to manage behavior Itinerant uses student's personal		
	Itinerant teacher does not monitor student behavior	inconsistent	behavior chart as appropriate, knows skills sequencing and has evidence of planning based on prior skills acquisition and future mastery		
	No response to behavior concerns	Occasionally responds to behavior concerns	Student is engaged	Includes and utilizes a classroom behavior chart	
	Yells at student	Inconsistent follow through with	Consistently responds to behavior concerns	Immediately responds to behavior	
Examples	Uses inappropriate language	rewards or consequences related to student behavior	Uses a sticker chart for equipment	concerns	
	Does not incorporate classroom/school rules or individual behavior plans		use for each students Taps into RtI or PBIS strategies	Shares behavior data with teaching team	

June	2015
------	------

	DOMAIN TWO: THE ENVIRONMENT				
		Levels of P	erformance		
Component 2E	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Creating a Technology Rich Environment <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via direct	Electronic technology is not used during instruction. Itinerant is unaware of resources such as tablet applications, disability-specific assistive technology and is unable to determine how student can better access academic content	High and low technology use is limited during instruction. Some technology is used to reinforce or reward behavior but not as an instructional tool.	Assistive and electronic technologies are integrated into instruction. Itinerant uses tools to provide ways for students to access information and to learn and practice new skills.	Technology is integrated into instruction. Itinerant uses multiple tools to provide ways for students to access instruction and to learn and practice new skills	
observation)	using technology.				
Criteria	Technology not used even if available to enhance lesson No process to determine if disability- specific technology (CCTV, FM system, IPAD etc.) would be of help to student	Technology is inconsistently utilized to enhance instruction Attempts to integrate technology are basic Itinerant does not consider technology beyond what is offered by school, CASE or parent	Appropriate accommodations Technology is incorporated throughout lesson activities Itinerant attends workshops or other learning opportunities and uses new skills to enhance instruction	Itinerant teaches student's team about technology and has plans to incorporate its use throughout the school day Instruction is focused not just on access of technology but independence of use by students	
Examples	Technology (such as IPAD) that is available is not used for instruction Itinerant unaware of resources to learn about technology Itinerant does not feel comfortable with technology and does not make an attempt to use it with students	Student picks a game to play that does not reinforce an academic skill Itinerant does not question team about what can be done with technology to improve access to curriculum	Students have multiple tools to learn material Itinerant uses resources to learn about technology that the team recommends Selected materials and applications enhance learning	Concept is taught using a variety of tools – CD, DVD, IPAD, direct instruction, etc. Students can apply knowledge of technology outside of school	

#### June 2015

	DOMAIN THREE: DELIVERY OF SERVICE           Levels of Performance				
Component 3A	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Communicating with Students	Itinerant does not state or post the objective of the session. Itinerant does not explain procedures and	Itinerant inconsistently states or posts the objective of the session. Itinerant's explanations of	Itinerant states or posts the objective of the session. Itinerant explains procedures and directions	Itinerant states or posts the objective of the session. Itinerant explains procedures and directions	
Performance	directions clearly. Language and vocabulary are consistently unclear,	procedures and directions are unclear. Language and vocabulary	clearly. Language and vocabulary are clear, correct and appropriate	clearly. Language and vocabulary are clear, correct and appropriate	
(Evaluated via direct observation)	incorrect and inappropriate to the age and interest of the student.	are often incorrect and inappropriate to the age and interest of the student.	to the age and interest of the student.	to the age and interest of the student and student is able to communicate expectation of task/ goal	
	The objective of the lesson is not posted and does not show a relationship to the student's IEP.	The objective of the lesson is unclear or not posted.	Clearly states the objective of the session.	Uses goals to drive the objectives of the lesson which are posted in the session.	
	Explanations are unclear or absent during the lesson.	Explanation of lesson is vague and non-specific Explanation of the content consists	Explanation of content Itinerant links session to previous lessons and knowledge	Explanation of content is clear and invites student participation and thinking	
Criteria	Communications include errors of vocabulary or usage. Vocabulary is inappropriate to the	of a monologue or is purely procedural, with minimal participation by student.		Students verbalize or demonstrate understanding of objectives and directions	
	age or culture of the students.	Vocabulary is too advanced or too juvenile for the student.		Itinerant links session to previous lessons and knowledge	
		There is little connection to background knowledge or previous lessons.		Uses rich language, offering brief vocabulary lessons where appropriate.	
	No goal for the lesson is shared	Itinerant talks much more than student	An objective is clearly presented to the student.	Communication with students is varied.	
Examples	Teacher begins the lesson without giving the student directions.	No opportunity for student input. Student appears confused.	Student verbalizes or demonstrates understanding of the procedures.	Student assists Itinerant in clarifying learning goals	
	Itinerant makes a serious content error that will affect student's understanding of lesson				

June 2015

	DOMAIN THREE: DELIVERY OF SERVICE			
		Levels of P	erformance	
Component 3B	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent
Lesson Content	No connection between lesson and IEP goals. The content of the lesson is not appropriate for the student. There is no connection between the	Explicit connection between IEP goals and lesson content is not present. Lesson content may be appropriate for the student's	Content of lesson reflects stated objectives. Lesson will assist student to meet IEP benchmarks and goals. Lesson content is	Lesson meets its own objective and is related to IEP goals/benchmarks. Clear relationship between lesson and content standards throughout
Performance	lesson and the classroom or grade level materials.	disability. The connection between the lesson and grade level content is unclear.	related to student's disability and is appropriate for student's age and the learning objective. The lesson	instruction. Lesson reflects current practice and is related to student's disability. Classroom and school
(Evaluated via direct observation)			is tied to grade level content.	wide initiatives, themes or activities are included.
	Lesson stands alone – is out of context of student's IEP	Connections between lesson and IEP benchmarks is unclear	Materials and resources support the learning goals	Data on IEP goals can be gathered based on lesson taught
Criteria	No connections to classroom or grade level learning	Weak connection between lesson and grade level material	Content is appropriate for student's age, learning objective and disability	Knowledge of what student is expected to do in classroom
		Lesson is generally appropriate for student's disability		
	Itinerant is unable to articulate a goal for the lesson	Itinerant is able to articulate goal for the lesson but not how it is related to IEP goals	Lesson demonstrates remediation or acquisition of a specific skill related to student's disability	Itinerant verbalizes connections between lessons and skills
Examples	The lesson is unrelated to the student's disability	Unable to connect lesson to what student is learning in the classroom	Lesson topic, materials or theme is related to student's classroom	Uses vocabulary or materials from classroom for instruction
	Unaware of what student is learning in classroom		activity	Connects lesson to classroom

#### June 2015

	DOMAIN THREE: DELIVERY OF SERVICE Levels of Performance				
Component 3C	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Instructional Design/Student Engagement Performance	Only one type of instructional strategy is used. Activities are inappropriate for students' age, background, disability or IEP goals. The lesson does not have a structure. Itinerant does not check	The lesson relies heavily on one type of instructional strategy or activity. Activities are generally appropriate for the students' age, background, disability or IEP goals. The lesson has a recognizable	The Itinerant engages students in learning by using a variety of instructional strategies. Multiple ways to access content are provided. Activities are appropriate for students' age, background,	A variety of instructional strategies that incorporate student interests are used. Activities are appropriate for students' age, background, disability and consider student's culture and interests. The lesson	
	for understanding.	structure. Questions are used to check for understanding.	disability. The lesson has a clearly defined structure that includes goal setting and closure. Questions promote thinking and understanding of content.	has a clearly defined structure that includes goal setting, closure and reflection. Questions challenge students to engage in metacognition and high level thinking.	
(Evaluated via direct observation)					
	Activities are not specific to the student's needs.	Student does not have the opportunity to ask questions or make choices	Lesson provides the student adequate time to practice skills	Students contribute ideas for modifying and/or extending the lesson/materials	
	Lesson is not organized nor sequential	Insufficient time or student to	Student has opportunity to make choices, ask questions and explain	Materials and resources extend	
Criteria	Student involvement is low	practice skills	responses	student learning and are tied to student interests.	
			Itinerant builds upon student responses to questions		
			Questions follow Bloom's Taxonomy		
	Little to no student participation	One to two instructional activities are completed during the lesson	Varied activities throughout the lesson	Student can demonstrate an understanding of the application of	
	Materials and resources are not			the lesson to their needs	
Examples	ready and not related to lesson	The lesson has an opening and goals are set for the activity	High level/open ended questions Connects relevance of lessons to	Student reflection is a thorough	
	The itinerant does not ask questions during the session	Some questions are asked to see if	previous understanding	and ongoing part of the lesson	
		student understands		Students contribute to their	

June 2015

		learning through asking questions.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### June 2015

	DOMAIN THREE: DELIVERY OF SERVICE           Levels of Performance				
Component 3D	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent	
Using Assessment in Instruction	Assessment is not used during the lesson to monitor progress as evidence of student learning. Little	Assessment is used inconsistently during the lesson to monitor progress as evidence of student	Assessment is used regularly by the itinerant during the lesson to monitor progress as evidence of	A variety of assessments are used that are fully integrated into lesson to monitor progress as evidence of	
Performance	to no feedback is given to the student. Student is not involved in any assessment.	learning. Feedback is unspecific and untimely. Student is unaware of assessment criteria.	student learning and to guide future instruction. Feedback is accurate and timely and advances	student learning and to guide future instruction. Feedback is timely, consistent and extends	
(Evaluated via direct observation)			learning. Students have opportunities to self-assess.	learning. Student is aware of assessment criteria and itinerant teacher successfully differentiates instruction to address individual student misunderstandings.	
	No assessment is done throughout	Assessment is integrated into	Feedback includes suggestions for	Student participates in assessment	
	the lesson.	instruction inconsistently	future improvement		
	Makes no effort to determine whether the student understands the lesson.	Some checks for understanding/uses assessment to monitor student progress	Itinerant adjusts instruction to address individual student misunderstandings	Frequent checks for understanding using appropriately leveled questions.	
		monitor student progress	misunderstandings	Itinerant differentiates throughout	
Criteria	Does not ask the student to evaluate his/her work	Feedback to students is not specific or constructive.	Frequent checks for understanding using appropriately leveled questions.	the lesson based on the assessments.	
	No feedback is given throughout the lesson.	Little evidence that the student understands how his/her work will be evaluated	Itinerant uses a variety of formative and summative assessments.	Student self-assesses.	
			Teacher includes student in assessment.		
	No assessment of students is given throughout instruction	Feedback does not guide the student to better understanding	Assessment is evident throughout instruction	Feedback is a two way conversation	
Examples	No feedback	Few checks for understanding	Feedback is specific	Students self-assess	
	No checks for understanding		Frequent checks for understanding.	Goal data is presented on charts, graphs or other visuals	
			Data reviewed with student at end.		

June	2015
------	------

		DOMAIN THREE: D	ELIVERY OF SERVICE			
Component 3E	Levels of Performance					
	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Excellent			
Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	No revisions or adjustments are made throughout instruction. Flexibility is not exercised in setting priorities and effectively utilizing	Modest revisions and adjustments are made throughout instruction. Flexibility is inconsistent when setting priorities and itinerant has	Revisions and adjustments are made throughout instruction. Flexibility is exercised in setting priorities and effectively utilizing	Itinerant consistently makes revisions and adjustments seeking ways to improve instruction Itinerant teacher prioritizes and		
Performance	additional time or addressing unexpected tasks. Itinerant is not responsive to student instructional needs and ignores questions.	difficulty effectively utilizing additional time or addressing unexpected tasks. Itinerant teacher attempts to be responsive to student instructional	additional time or addressing unexpected tasks. Itinerant is responsive to student instructional needs.	effectively utilizes additional time for addressing unexpected tasks. Itinerant and promotes intellectual engagement.		
(Evaluated via direct observation)		needs, but is only partially successful in promoting intellectual engagement.				
	Does not address and/or incorporate student interests or needs into learning	Inconsistently addresses student questions fully.	Consistently addresses student questions	Consistently incorporates student's needs/interests into the lesson.		
Criteria	Does not persist in assisting students having difficulty learning	Itinerant teacher handles changes and requests hesitantly and is inconsistently able to complete a lesson with little difficulty or loss of	Itinerant handles changes and requests in a professional manner and is able to complete a lesson with little difficulty or loss of time.	Itinerant handles requests in a very professional manner and effectively utilizes additional time to extend learning.		
	Itinerant teacher handles changes and requests in an unprofessional manner and is not has difficulty completing a lesion without the loss of time.	time.	Requests for help from team members of the student.	Consistently utilizes resources and collaborates with team members of the student.		
	Itinerant teacher is upset and frustrated with schedule/location changes and is not able to complete a lesson	Itinerant teacher is frustrated with changes in schedule/location but is able to complete a partial lesson	Itinerant teacher consistently checks the functioning of equipment	Itinerant teacher takes all changes in stride and seeks opportunity for implementing a lesson that matches new time or place		
Examples			Itinerant teacher handles schedule/location changes in a professional manner and is able to complete a lesson	Itinerant teacher and student collaborate to find additional resources when existing resources are insufficient		
				Itinerant teacher goes out of the way to address equipment issues		

June 2015

		DOMAIN FOUR: PROFES	SIONAL RESONSIBILITIES			
	Levels of Performance					
Component 4A	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Reflecting on Practice	Unable to determine whether a lesson was effective or achieved instructional outcomes and/or	A generally accurate impression of a lesson's effectiveness and the extent to which instructional	Accurate reflection on practice and additions or adjustments in instruction and staff support are	Reflects consistently on practice and adds to or adjusts instruction and staff support. Reflections		
Performance	profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson. Offers no suggestions	outcomes were met is evident. General suggestions are made	made. Reflections include specific references to the lesson to	include specific examples from the lesson to determine effectiveness.		
(Evaluated via post- observation interview, and discussion)	for how a lesson could be improved.	about how a lesson could be improved.	determine effectiveness. Specific suggestions about what to try next time are generated.	Alternative actions and probable success of different courses of action are evaluated. Implements alternative plans consistently.		
	Does not understand whether a lesson was effective or achieved instructional outcomes	All information is generally accurate – feels lesson went well but can't identify specific skills that were developed or enhanced	Ongoing reflection during and after lessons Reflections break down the lesson	Reflections consider itinerant instruction and all over supports that students receive		
Criteria	Profoundly misjudges the success of a lesson.		into specific components and each component is analyzed	Possible alternatives for instruction are evaluated based on all other supports student receives		
			Suggestions are specific to student and skill areas that are being worked on			
	No suggestions for improving a lesson	Reports that lesson went well or didn't go so well but not specific about issues	Data collection, charting, and progress notes	Thoroughly familiar with skills that are being taught to students in classroom or in other related		
Examples	No data collection to indicate the success or lack of success for each lesson	Suggestions for improvement are general and not specific to skill or to student need	Notes to self about what to try next time	services areas		

#### June 2015

	DOMAIN FOUR: PROFESSIONAL PRATICE Levels of Performance					
Component 4B						
	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Maintaining Accurate Records <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via post- observation interview, and	Unprepared for meetings. Reports are general and not always complete and/or accurate. Service minutes are not up to date.	Reports are generally accurate regarding student progress. Itinerant teacher attends meetings, but is often unprepared. Service minutes are generally up to date, but may only be partially accurate or are late.	Itinerant teacher prepares for meetings. IEP Present Levels and goal updates are data driven. Reports accurately convey student current profile. C.A.S.E. required records are accurate.	Preparation for meetings includes extensive evidence from a variety of sources and stakeholders. Reports are thorough and specific, providing extensive data on student progress. Service minutes are accurate. Students contribute		
discussion)				information and/or participate in		
Criteria	Deadlines frequently are not met and reminders are often needed. Student records are in disarray resulting in errors and confusion. No system for maintaining information on student progress Service minutes are rarely accurate or updated.	Student records may be incomplete Deadlines are inconsistently met Frequently attends meetings with minimal information on student progress	Service minutes, computer sheets, IIMC requests, student drops and additions are accurate and up to date.	maintaining/tracking records. Information is shared with student allowing student to reflect on strengths and weaknesses Students contribute to tracking progress and/or maintaining records Implements and proactively shares extensive record-keeping systems for tracking student progress Multiple systems/reports for tracking student progress		
Examples	Frequent calls from schools asking if itinerant teacher can complete paperwork and provide reports	Reports do not include specific data or evidence of assessment Service minutes are accurate Folders are not appropriately purged	Surveys of staff, assessment of students, written reports. Process for record keeping is efficient and effective Student records (student folder) are in order, accurate, complete and readily available. Attend meetings Medical reports updated	E-mails from staff that in-service accommodations are being used/implemented Makes additional efforts to track down new/current audios		

June 2015

	DOMAIN FOUR: PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE						
	Levels of Performance						
Component 4C	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent			
Communicating with Families <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via post- observation interview, and discussion)	Communication with families is sporadic or culturally inappropriate. Unfamiliar with resources to share with families.	Sporadic attempts are made to communicate with families about the instructional program and student progress. Communications are one-way and are not always appropriate to the cultural norms of the family.	Itinerant appropriately communicates with families about the instructional program and individual student progress. Relevant resources are shared with families. Itinerant is sensitive to family culture.	Two-way communication with families occurs regularly and includes students' contribution. Resources for families are sought out and shared. Itinerant educates his/herself on family culture and background.			
Criteria	Does not respond or responds insensitively to family concerns Makes no attempt to engage families or such efforts are inappropriate	Inconsistent in responding to the family Infrequent or incomplete information is sent home by itinerant teacher Communication may be inaccessible because of the language of the family	Available as needed to respond to family concerns Seeks parent input about the student Share information about workshops, social events, financial assistance, camps, scholarships, adult agencies, housing, transportation, colleges, perks, PACE, resources.	Assignments and activities include a family participation component Itinerant connects families with resources specific to student Communication with families is systematized.			
Examples	Meets family once per year No consideration of second language needs	Everything is provided in one language No evidence that family is a part of the planning process IEP updates are the only communication	Regular emails/contact regarding student progress/resources Translating letters/information to parents Telephone calls/texts Effort made to learn about cultural heritage is evident	Newsletter Website or resource list E-mails or communications from parent Student has a role in communication Workshops/classes or other professional development to learn about different cultures Parent/staff surveys asking for feedback Parent notes/emails/cards			

June 2015

	DOMAIN FOUR: PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE					
		Levels of Po	erformance			
Component 4D	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Participating in a Professional Community/Staff Communication <b>Performance</b> (Evaluated via post- observation interview, and discussion)	Itinerant is unable and/or unwilling to work with colleagues and school teams. Does not consult or collaborate with student's team. Staff training does not occur. Does not respond to requests for assistance from school teams.	Itinerant will find out information at the request of colleagues and school teams. Consults/collaborates with student's team upon request. Staff training is general. Response and availability to school team is uneven.	Itinerant shares knowledge and resources with colleagues and school teams. Regularly consults/collaborates with student's team. Trains staff and provides them information about student and equipment. Response and availability to school team is timely and helpful.	Colleagues and school teams seek out itinerant for professional advice and suggestions. Itinerant is considered a vital member of the student's planning team. Trains staff about students, equipment and what to do in unexpected situations. Response and availability is immediate, reliable and helpful.		
Criteria	Purposely avoids becoming involved in projects Relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving	Participates in projects when specifically asked.	Itinerant collaborates with outside agencies and specialists. Itinerant contributes to linkage meetings Promptly communicates information to stakeholders as needed Explanation of student information and technology is 'teacher friendly' and understandable	Contribution of itinerant teacher is valued by team members Itinerant finds answers and information independently and shares with team Itinerant is responsive and sensitive to the needs of the student's team		
Examples	Absent from meetings Unwilling to use colleagues for assistance	Listens to colleagues but does not implement their suggestions Inconsistent	Requests copies of medical reports Notes Speaks at meetings Meeting evidence – notes, sign in sheet, etc. Investigates and suggests guest speakers for linkage meetings Teacher is aware of district/RCCSEC/State guidelines	Collects data after implementing suggestions from colleagues Sought out for information and/or assistance by staff members Volunteers for additional non- instructional duties Puts together presentations for linkage meetings Student writes/conducts in-service		

		-	_		_
Jun	0	2	n	1	E .
JUI	е.	<u> </u>	U		

	and follows those guidelines in	or attends it
	planning and communication with	
	staff/parents.	Gears in-service for specific team
		members and areas of expertise
		In-service is completed before
		school starts for the students

	DOMAIN FOUR: PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE					
		Levels of P	erformance			
Component 4E	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent		
Growing and Developing Professionally	Itinerant teacher does not work with colleagues to improve and/or enhance practice. Unaware of conferences or relevant workshops. Dos not respond to feedback from	Itinerant teacher participates actively in assisting and working with colleagues to enhance practice. Requests to attend conferences or workshops. Does	Itinerant teacher participates actively in assisting and working with colleagues to enhance practice and contribute to the profession. Shares information with teams.	Itinerant teacher participates actively in assisting and working with colleagues to enhance practice and contribute to the profession. Conducts action research and		
Performance	colleagues or supervisor.	not respond to feedback from colleagues or supervisor.	Requests to attend conferences or workshops, shares and applies learned information. Professionally responds to feedback from colleagues and supervisors in a	shares information with teams. Requests to attend conferences or workshops, shares and applies learned information. Seeks out feedback from colleagues and		
observation interview, and discussion)			professional manner.	supervisors.		
	Doesn't attend classes, conferences or workshops	Attends professional development when required	Shares at team and linkage meetings	Independently creates own growth opportunities that are tied to student growth		
Criteria	Doesn't seek help or guidance Resistant to feedback on practice	Does not incorporate new strategies/feedback into practice	Subscribes to professional newsletters and organizations Shares information from professional organizations	Willing to try alternative ways to get students to grow Frequently seeks out resources to		
				improve practice Seeks feedback		
	Doesn't ask peers Allows certification to lapse	Doesn't contribute or share information with team or at linkage meetings	Attends professional conferences/workshops	Presents at conferences Attendances conferences outside		
	No in-service		Meets with teams and peers	area of expertise for needs of students		
Examples			Maintains professional certificate Seeks out new resources	Takes courses to increase knowledge		
			Willing to try a new idea or technology and reflects on its success	Reflects on activities with other team members and itinerants		
			Participates in webinars	Surveys student team members on effectiveness of services		

June 2015

	DOMAIN FOUR: PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE						
	Levels of Performance						
Component 4F	*Unsatisfactory	Basic/Needs Improvement	Proficient	Excellent			
Showing Professionalism	Itinerant does not display high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students and public.	Itinerant displays standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students and public.	Itinerant displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students and public.	Itinerant displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students and public.			
Performance	Unfamiliar with RCCSEC and district regulations and practice. Itinerant	Somewhat knowledgeable about RCCSEC and district regulations and	Respectful and knowledgeable about RCCSEC and district	Respectful and knowledgeable about RCCSEC and district			
(Evaluated via post- observation interview, and discussion)	does not represent him/herself in a professional manner. Deadlines are not met.	practice. Itinerant represents him/herself in a professional manner. Deadlines require frequent reminders.	regulations and practice. Itinerant represents him/herself in a professional manner. Deadlines are met with minimal oversight.	regulations and practice. Itinerant represents him/herself in a professional manner. Deadlines are met independently and on time.			
Criteria	Decisions are questionable Itinerant teacher is dishonest Service logs are not completed on time	Lacks understanding that schools have different processes in writing IEPs Informs schools at the last minutes of planned schedule changes	Schools are informed of changes/conflicts On time to meetings Responds to requests in a timely manner (registrations for workshops, projections, caseload updates) Understands the protocols in districts served Has a backup plan if materials are an issue so that students can access instruction	Records are up to date and completed independently. Equipment and materials for students are anticipated and ordered Itinerant is proactive and assumes a leadership role in ensuring highest standards. Confidentiality is a priority			
Examples	Equipment arrives after school year has started Unaware of IEP process in districts Calls from school or teachers are received at CIS indicating that communication about absences or	Student instruction is disrupted due to lack of equipment or training	Emails School sign in sheets School feedback IEPs	Emails Informs school sites of scheduling conflicts and changes Appropriate attire IEPs are turned in to RCCSEC in a			
	schedule changes did not occur		FM logs/Equipment checklists	timely manner			

			-		_
	ur		7	വ1	5
J	u	IC.	~	υı	. ၂

	Awareness of field trips and other	
	planned activities at the school	